

COP 26 GLASGOW



UNITED NATIONS
CLIMATE CHANGE
CONFERENCE

NOVEMBER 2021

preview by



Svante Arrhenius

In developing a theory to explain the ice ages, Arrhenius, in 1896, was the first to use basic principles of physical chemistry to calculate estimates of the extent to which increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) will increase Earth's surface temperature through the greenhouse effect. These calculations led him to conclude that human-caused CO₂ emissions, from fossil-fuel burning and other combustion processes, are large enough to cause global warming.



Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann Leipzig.

Gravure Meisenbach Riffarth & Co. Leipzig


Svante Arrhenius



Normal reaction of a civilization: COP 1 Stockholm 1994

Normal reaction of a civilization: COP 26 Berlin 1939





Rio 1992

There I wanted to present the "GEMINI habitable solar power plant", the first generation of the GEMINI house.



世界新兴产业大会
WORLD EMERGING INDUSTRIES SUMMIT (WEIS)

欢迎宴会
WELCOME BANQUET



COP 16 Cancun 2010

The PEGE Manifesto

The PEGE Manifesto

October 21st 2010, my hopes to have a big event in Cancun during the climate conference have vanished. I thought my keynote at the “World Emerging Industries Summit” would be the big breakthrough. But I live in a crazy world.

My ideas are hidden behind a wall of silence. My ideas can only be found by people entering in the search engines the right questions to discover the pages with my answers. People are thinking there is a free press informing them about every important event or idea.

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those
who falsely believe they are free.”

Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

One month later will be the 16th big show “We have to destroy the economy, the society, the environment, because normal behavior is too expensive”. This shows are called “climate conferences”.

I hoped to present my ideas at a climate conference first-time 1992. I remember TV documentations like “Last exit Rio”.

One can sum up all these climate conferences in two sentences:

A said: “We need to invest more money in the climate protection.”

B said: “We are highly indebted, we have no money.”

To lead civilization on planet Earth to a sustainable future.

To organize the resources of planet Earth in such a way that the whole of mankind can have a good standard of living.

Mankind has the technical prerequisites to create a sustainable paradise, but has a tax system that can turn this planet into hell.

New, resource-efficient technology must be implemented as soon as possible.

But this is impeded by a tax system designed in the 19th century to spur on the first Industrial Revolution by means of the cheapest use of resources.

Earth has limited resources.

We must share these resources as fairly as possible.


A tax system based on resource use can lead to fairness.

Those who use an above-average amount of resources should make an above-average contribution to the common welfare through taxes on these resources.

The whole social system, including child care, education, health, and old age benefits should be financed by resource taxes.

- * To give taxes on resources effective steering power
- * To decrease the wage expenses of the employer
- * To increase the wage for the employee

All basic social benefits, from child care, education, health, to old age benefits have to be financed by resource taxes.



Less expenses for the employer, more purchasing power for the employee,

taxes and dues on human work replaced by taxes on resources.

Human right on future, human right on honesty, social problems will be solved by the change to 100% resource taxes.

For the whole civilization is given a pathway starting with 30% of the whole state budget, including social system financed by resources and ending at 100%.

States below the pathway, typical high developed industrial states with a high amount of income tax and social insurance, should pay in a pool.

States above the pathway, typical low developed states with a small state budget, should receive from the pool.

Different regions are different developed. So every state should decide about level and type of resource taxation.

Worldwide the same level of CO2 tax would make worldwide at the same time old technique obsolete. This method is not compatible with economic development and available production capacity.

Regional different CO2 tax levels make it possible to bring a model region fast forward, to use a technique in other regions, which became obsolete there.

Imagine Germany develops fast, 2025 with 300 EUR per ton CO2. The number of new purchased cars increases from 3 million to 6 million electric cars per year. 6 million used cars are transferred per year in less- developed regions, where it is useful at 100 EUR CO2 tax per ton to replace very old cars with ICE by modern cars with ICE.

Tax tariffs on resources can be different depending on usage. Government should have the possibility to steer precise the use of resources.

A house can be easily changed from fuel oil to a heat pump. New cars should drive with electric power instead of diesel. But airplanes cannot be changed to electric power.

Fuel oil, diesel or kerosene are all refined items from oil. From the different problems to change one of these applications to electric power from renewable-energy, it comes to the political demand to tax them very different.

To avoid a breakdown of air traffic and maritime transport in a future oil crisis, other applications have to be terminated by hard taxational steering.

The antecedent political spectrum from left to right will be complemented by a new dimension.

The speed to change the tax system towards 100% resource taxes.

Today are left and right parties, right radical and left radical parties.

In the future will be parties, wanting to implement the change towards resource taxation fast or slow.

There will be slow radical parties, wanting to prevent the change of the tax system.

There will be fast radical parties, wanting to complete the change of the tax system in a few years.

Private or professional use of resources is an irrelevant question. This takes out the basis for all methods to determine the wins.

Taxation only for the usage of resources.

The determination of wins, the division in professional and private expenses created a system of madness, the child or career question, because the nanny became unaffordable expensive as a private expense.

The system of madness brought the children per woman rate down to 1.2 in Europe.

On the half way at the transition towards resource taxation has the determination of wins be terminated, because this requires a division of expenses in professional and private usage.

The finance office has only to be interested in the usage of resources.

Taxes should not stand as barriers between humans.

Regardless how much, regardless what bureaucratic effort. The duty for bookkeeping should be restricted to production companies.


A final taxation in production companies for all produced goods. Service, mounting and selling companies do not produce goods, so they need no bookkeeping.

On the half way at the transition towards resource taxation, the abolishment of the VAT and the introduction of the final taxation should be realized.

VAT requires a balance sheet to determine the win and for this, a separation between professional and private expenses, but which will be not longer allowed at this time.

Roland Mösl

CALCULATION ERROR



**Mankind at crossroads,
self-destruction or limitless future**

a publication of



COP 19 Warsaw 2013

I planned a counter event with the publication of my second book "Calculation ERROR".

But I had to take note that all environmental groups are only interested in complaining and not in solutions.



Presidencia de la República Mexicana

COP 21 Paris 2015 - the total mockery

Promises were made without the slightest clue as to how the signatory states intend to fulfill these promises.



**Net zero CO2 emission
is sufficient!**

ipcc

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change

Climate Change 2021

The Physical Science Basis

commented by

**PLANETARY
ENGINEERING
GROUP** 



**Climate protection
is very expensive,
we need a lot of money!!**



RETTEN
WIR UNS
JETZT

There is
no
CLIMATE
JUSTICE

MIT 140K
IN
DEN TOD

FUTURE
or
2

I CAN
BELIEVE
STILL
TO PRO
THIS

CO₂
LOVE
IS IN
THE
AIR



If everyone would do that!

But before the doing there are 2 essential obstacles:

If everyone wanted that!

If everyone could do that!

**True climate protection
begins with something
that the majority of
society wants and the
circumstances that the
majority of society
can also do it.**

**Do you seriously expect
something like this from
COP 26 in Glasgow?**

**The dream of a house in the
countryside with its own
garden redesigned as the
maximum possible climate
protection: the first Climate
Protection Superiority House
GEMINI next Generation.**



**Coming soon:
Disruption
at houses**

COP 26 GLASGOW



UNITED NATIONS
CLIMATE CHANGE
CONFERENCE



preview by **PLANETARY ENGINEERING GROUP** 